UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,632	07/16/2003	Horst Henn	IL920030022US1	1717
Stephen C. Kau	7590 12/23/200 <b>fman</b>	8	EXAM	INER
Intellectual Property Law Dept.			JARRETT, SCOTT L	
IBM Corporation P.O. Box 218	DII		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3624	
Yorktown Heig	hts, NY 10598			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/620,632	HENN ET AL.			
men view cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dave Robertson	2121			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Dave Robertson</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Atty Heidi Brun (#34,504)</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>10 December 2008</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	:]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes If Yes, brief description: <u>Draft Response/Amendments</u> .	e) No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Hirose.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed aspects of integration of workflow system and collaboration with respect to tighter integration than two such systems operating separately and with respect to exchange of contact lists relevant to the current state of workflow; discussed utility of "unplanned" workflow-stage-related collaborations; discussed Figures 4/5. No agreement reached with respect to current or proposed claims.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO</u>					
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTER requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	RVIEW. See Summary of Rec	cora of Interview			
/Dave Robertson/ Examiner, Art Unit 2121	/Albert DeCady/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2121			